

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,768	03/24/2004	Ralph Fritz Zwirnmann	060960-5014-US	7926
28977	7590 02/08/2006		EXAM	INER
MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP		SHAFFER, RICHARD R		
	PHIA, PA 19103-2921		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		3733	

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		E
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/809,768	ZWIRNMANN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Richard R. Shaffer	3733
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was preply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 M	arch 2004.	
	action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	33 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-49 is/are pending in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-49</u> are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	•	
Trip The bath of declaration is objected to by the Ex	anniner. Note the attached Office	Action of form F 10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		on No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	, ,	
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.

Attachment(s)

1)	Ш	Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		
2)		Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing I	Review (PT	0

-948) 3) Information Discleration Paper No(s)/Mail

losure	Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
I Date	·

4)	Ш	Interview Summary (PTO-413
		Paper No(s)/Mail Date

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6)		Other:
----	--	--------

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- Claims 1-33, drawn to an apparatus subcombination, classified in class 606, subclass 69.
- II. Claims 34-36, drawn to a method of use, classified in class 606, subclass 69.
- III. Claims 37-49, drawn to an apparatus combination, classified in class 606, subclass 70.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and III are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require the particulars of the subcombination as evidenced by independent claim 27. The combination has no limitations recited directed to the elongate slot as detailed in claim 27. The subcombination has separate utility such as panning the structure across a sheet of paper allowing a child to create a plurality of circles on the paper.

Inventions I and III are related to II as products and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1)

Art Unit: 3733

the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case both of the products can be used in construction to hold two separate pieces together.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for **Group I and II** is not required for **Group III**, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for **Group I** is not required for **Group II**, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of a plate implant (with representative figure):

 1) Figure 3A
 2) Figure 5
 3) Figure 6A
 4) Figure 7

 5) Figure 8
 6) Figure 9
 7) Figure 10
 8) Figure 11

 9) Figure 12
 10) Figure 13
 11) Figure 14
 12) Figure 15A

13) Figure 17M

This application also contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct sub-species of a tack/screw (with representative figure):

1) Figure 4 2) Figure 16

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to **elect a single disclosed species and sub-species** for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if

Application/Control Number: 10/809,768

Art Unit: 3733

no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1, 20, 27, 32, 34, and 37 appear generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Page 5 Application/Control Number: 10/809,768

Art Unit: 3733

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard R. Shaffer whose telephone number is 571-272-8683. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday during (7am-5pm).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on 571-272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Shaffer

February 4th, 2006

Dichard Shaffer

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER